

Public Comments
Agenda Item 8 – Financing Wastewater
Capital Improvement Plans

Written Comments Received By 12pm on 05/08/2023



May 8, 2023
City Council Meeting

From: James Kremer [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, May 8, 2023 11:59 AM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Monday CC mtg

[CAUTION: External Email]

I support the request you are receiving from others to remove from Consent, and have a full discussion on at least Item 8. This is MAJOR and must be discussed fully in public.

Hope this squeaks in under the wire!

-- Jim

James Kremer
Pacifica, CA
[REDACTED]

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of Pacifica. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Public Comments
Agenda Item 9 – Pacifica School District
Workforce Housing Project
Written Comments Received By 12pm on 05/08/2023



May 8, 2023
City Council Meeting

From: Cassie Leonardi [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, May 8, 2023 9:48 AM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Agenda Item # 9

[CAUTION: External Email]

Good Morning,

I am writing to voice my concern and objection regarding the rezoning of Oddstad Elementary School, Agenda Item number 9 on the May 8th 2023 meeting.

First and foremost, my concern is about safety. As a resident living in the back of the valley, I worry constantly about fire risk. Should a fire happen in Pacifica, like that of Coffee Park (or the numerous other fires that have taken place in the past few years), I fear that many people would not make it out alive. There are few exits for the people living back here and adding more people to the area will only increase the congestion should an emergency arise. I think it is totally irresponsible to keep adding units to areas that are high fire risk.

Secondly, I enjoy the open space that Oddstad school offers, and many other people do too. Soccer and basketball games take place there regularly. People also bring their dogs there to enjoy time outdoors. We have fewer outdoor spaces than ever before and since many of Pacifica's outdoor activities have become crowded with tourists, it is important to keep these small open spaces available to our locals.

Lastly, I think it is absolutely ridiculous that 70 units are being added and only 11 are going to be offered at below market rate. Why do we keep building homes and apartments for rich people? We need housing for teachers, firefighters and everyone else in between. Not having these housing options available is causing our public servants to move out of the area which robs the Pacifica community of these critical service providers. To be clear, I am not in favor of adding these units, but if they are going to be built, they all should be affordable.

I understand that there is the state mandate for housing, putting pressure on Pacifica to add 1900 units. However, I wish the city would push back, as other counties, like Woodside and San Mateo, have been. Why should we have to put our lives in danger and compromise our open spaces? Frankly, I am disappointed that our counsel members would even consider selling our public land and jeopardizing our safety for a quick buck.

Thank you,
Cassie Leonardi

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of Pacifica. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

From: Ray Leonardi [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, May 8, 2023 10:00 AM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Agenda Item #9

[CAUTION: External Email]

Hello,

I am voicing my opposition to the rezoning of Oddstad school for housing, Agenda item # 9.

The fire risk is too great to keep adding units to this area. How is Pacifica City Counsel planning on making this safe for back of the valley residents? There are only two roads to exit the back of the valley and Oddstad the thoroughfare. Does Pacifica even have a sturdy emergency plan in place? Stop risking the lives of existing residents for a payout by developers.

Additionally, traffic already can be bad back here. Adding more people will only worsen this problem.

The safest thing is to turn Oddstad into a park.

Lastly, I ask Counsel to stop putting these important agenda items last in the meetings. The community deserves to comment on these issues, and making everyone sit through these long meetings before these important items are discussed, is a disservice to the Pacifica community.

Thanks,

Ray Leonardi

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of Pacifica. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Public Comments

Agenda Item 10 – Strategic Planning

Written Comments Received By 12pm on 05/08/2023



May 8, 2023
City Council Meeting

From: Sue Digre [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, May 8, 2023 11:22 AM
To: Public Comment
Subject: May 08 23 City agenda item 10

[CAUTION: External Email]

Item 10 May 08 2023

Four concerns for now.

1. Most important.

ITS was voted on and CalTrans insisted they had the technology to provide it.

ITS should be a priority now. As it was chosen by City Council with great public input and is an on-going need ITS is 21st Century expertise to manage the flow of traffic.

If it is in the present Council's Strategic Plan it is not:

.clearly evident

.& therefore does not appear to be urgent & significant to this Council

Please explain.

.....

...2. public transportation is not listed as a priority either

3. What is involved with this statement:

b "amend agreement with PCT regarding ...& USE OF PEG fees."

4. Public Outreach is mentioned several times.

However truly broad & robust Public Outreach is imperative now .

The four issues above are just a few of the city issues that need to be known by the Public at Large

Thank you.

Sue Digre

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of Pacifica. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.