

# **Public Comments on Items Not on Posted Agenda**

Written Comments Received By 12pm on 10/23/2023



*October 23, 2023*

*City Council Meeting*

---

**From:** Zach [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 12, 2023 12:48 AM  
**To:** Public Comment  
**Subject:** Protest of Quarry Reclamation Plan and request for meeting access

[CAUTION: External Email]

Dear Pacifica City Council,

I am writing to protest the Quarry Reclamation Plan which would bring dozens of bulldozers in the community to reshape a beautiful natural landscape under dubious circumstances, with little consideration and input from locals and conservation specialists.

I kindly request the following:

1. any upcoming meetings to voice my input on this public issue 2. any documentation on environmental impact this construction will have, specifically reports from any environmental experts 3. any reports or documentation on the pollutants (noise and otherwise) this project will cause locals.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

-Zach K.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of Pacifica. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

---

**From:** Clif Lawrence [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 12, 2023 3:24 PM  
**To:** Public Comment  
**Subject:** Puzzles - Brain Teasers

**[CAUTION: External Email]**

*ULTIMATELY... The true solution... is found by NOT eliminating any possibility without deliberate supporting logic.*

### **PATHS NOT TAKEN**

- BBIRP: There were options NOT placed on the table.... i.e. "Managed Retreat" was omitted from the scope given the contractor consultants.
- Quarry: The NO FILL Option has not been referred to be examined by staff...

Regards,

Clif Lawrence

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the City of Pacifica. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

---

**From:** Lisa Steinberg [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 12, 2023 11:03 PM  
**To:** Public Comment  
**Subject:** Racist/Antisemitic Comments

[CAUTION: External Email]

Dear City Council,

I was appalled when I heard the comments made by white supremacists/ Nazis. These comments don't belong in our meetings and do nothing to improve our city, which is the point of such meetings. There is a surge of antisemitism around the world, and hearing these comments made me feel uncomfortable and unsafe in my city, Pacifica, as a Jew. By allowing these people to make public antisemitic comments under the guise of free speech, you are giving them a platform for their agenda. Furthermore, no minority should have to listen to hate speech directed at them. We need to protect each other as a community. When free speech becomes hate speech, the mic needs to be turned off immediately!

Sincerely,  
Lisa Steinberg

Sent from my iPhone

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of Pacifica. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

---

**From:** Esteban A Guerrero [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Saturday, October 21, 2023 9:10 PM  
**To:** Public Comment; Bigstyk, Tygarjas; Vaterlaus, Sue; Beckmeyer, Sue; Bier, Mary; Boles, Christine; \_City Council; Coffey, Sarah; Woodhouse, Kevin  
**Subject:** Pacifica's Climate/Sustainability Committee

**[CAUTION: External Email]**

Dear Pacifica City Councilmembers & Team,

I responded a while back to the City Council's request to "form a new Climate/Sustainability Committee", but I have not heard back.

If this is still in your plans, could you please provide more details? Given my background in Sustainability, Operations and Global Supply Chains of 20+ years, I'd be happy to help.

Best regards,

.....

*Esteban A Guerrero J*

[REDACTED]

[Fulfillment | For Everyone | Forever](#)  
[The 'Big Lag' Index](#)

*"I am inspired by the Urgency of Doing. Knowing is not enough, we Must Act on it. Being Willing is not enough, we Must Do." Leonardo da Vinci*

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the City of Pacifica. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

---

**From:** Clif Lawrence <sunnovii7@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 23, 2023 12:22 PM  
**To:** Public Comment  
**Cc:** clay@coastsidenewsgroup.com; Clif Lawrence; Peter Loeb; Summer Lee  
**Subject:** Open Comment - City Council - October 23,2023

**[CAUTION: External Email]**

## **SB330 and "Pacific View"**

- The original PRELIMINARY APPLICATION filing for Pacific View was on March 3, 2023
- To preserve their application for SB330 rights, your documentation says they must **COMPLETE** a STANDARD DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION in 180 days.
  - *"...The Applicant shall provide a complete development application no more than 180 days after the preliminary application to retain vested rights."*
- The Planning Department declared that the SB330 expiration date would be September 5, 2023.
- Subsequently, the Planning Department's posted Active Planning Application List document, updated the application filing date to September 5, 2023, and the status to "Reviewing Application for Completeness"
- The linked documents then no longer included the application, only a single PDF file of drawings.
- There is no posted record of any standard application by September 5, 2023.
- There is no record or letter of completeness
  - Is not a review for completeness required within 30 days from submission?

- If the original SB330 application failed, has the applicant re-filed and RE-PAID the fee?
- Is the City willing to provide clarifications?

Regards,

Clifford Lawrence  
Resident Citizen

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the City of Pacifica. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.



**Public Comments**  
**Item 7 - State Transportation Improvement**  
**Program Funding**

Written Comments Received By 12pm on 10/23/2023



*October 23, 2023*

*City Council Meeting*

---

**From:** Clif Lawrence [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 23, 2023 11:51 AM  
**To:** Public Comment  
**Cc:** Clif Lawrence  
**Subject:** Item #7 - Agenda October 23, 2023 - City Council

**[CAUTION: External Email]**

My comments are directed to the overall project and not the application for grants specifically.

The current plans do not appear to improve the traffic throughput through the two intersections.

Widening the bridge to add space designated for bicycle and safety lanes does not appear to intend to allow increased traffic volume.

As near as I have been able to determine, NO baseline data had been established that could be used in the future to evaluate the success of the project.

- In conversation with an engineer from AECOM, I inquired if they had data on the length of time at various times in the day, that it takes a vehicle to traverse the two intersections of the bridge.
- The response from the engineer was that they had collected counts on traffic volumes.
- Traffic volumes and throughput are not the same.

I submit that a video record of the traffic movements through the two current inspections would demonstrate that traffic flows at an efficient rate. This is due to courteous and alert driving.

I will also challenge that any future traffic patterns with two traffic lights will not be able to produce the same result.

As for a second point, regarding the Milagra ON-Ramp consideration, why is it never mentioned the existence of proposals for three hotels that would benefit from such a design?

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the City of Pacifica. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.