

Resolution No. 57-2017

**A RESOLUTION BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PACIFICA TO REQUEST TO THE METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION FOR THE ALLOCATION OF FISCAL YEAR 2017-18 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 PEDESTRIAN/BICYCLE PROJECT FUNDING**

WHEREAS, Article 3 of the Transportation Development Act (TDA), Public Utilities Code (PUC) Section 99200 et seq., authorizes the submission of claims to a regional transportation planning agency for the funding of projects exclusively for the benefit and/or use of pedestrians and bicyclists; and

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), as the regional transportation planning agency for the San Francisco Bay region, has adopted MTC Resolution No.4108, entitled "Transportation Development Act, Article 3, Pedestrian and Bicycle Projects," which delineates procedures and criteria for submission of requests for the allocation of "TDA Article 3" funding; and

WHEREAS, MTC Resolution No. 4108 requires that requests for the allocation of TDA Article 3 funding be submitted as part of a single, countywide coordinated claim from each county in the San Francisco Bay region; and

WHEREAS, the **City of Pacifica** desires to submit a request to MTC for the allocation of TDA Article 3 funds to support the projects described in Attachment B to this resolution, which are for the exclusive benefit and/or use of pedestrians and/or bicyclists; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the **City of Pacifica** declares it is eligible to request an allocation of TDA Article 3 funds pursuant to Section 99234 of the Public Utilities Code, and furthermore, be it

RESOLVED, that there is no pending or threatened litigation that might adversely affect the project or projects described in Attachment B to this resolution, or that might impair the ability of the **City of Pacifica** to carry out the project; and furthermore, be it

RESOLVED, that the project has been reviewed by the Bicycle Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC) of **the City of Pacifica**; and furthermore, be it

RESOLVED, that the **City of Pacifica** attests to the accuracy of and approves the statements in Attachment A to this resolution; and furthermore, be it


RESOLVED, that a certified copy of this resolution and its attachments, and any accompanying supporting materials shall be forwarded to the congestion management agency, countywide transportation planning agency, or county association of governments, as the case may be, of **San Mateo County** for submission to MTC as part of the countywide coordinated TDA Article 3 claim.


**PASSED AND ADOPTED** at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Pacifica, California, held on the 27<sup>th</sup> day of November 2017, by the following vote:


**AYES**, Councilmembers: Martin, Vaterlaus, Digre, Keener, O'Neill  
**NOES**, Councilmembers: None  
**ABSENT**, Councilmembers: None  
**ABSTAIN**, Councilmembers: None

---

**CITY OF PACIFICA**

By:   
Mike O'Neil, Mayor

**APPROVED AS TO FORM**  
By:   
Michelle Kenyon, City Attorney

**ATTEST**  
By:   
Kathy O'Connell, City Clerk

Attachment A

Request to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission for the Allocation of Fiscal Year  
2017-18 YEAR Transportation Development Act Article 3 Pedestrian/Bicycle Project Funding

**Findings**

1. That the **City of Pacifica** is not legally impeded from submitting a request to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission for the allocation of Transportation Development Act (TDA) Article 3 funds, nor is the **City of Pacifica** legally impeded from undertaking the project(s) described in "Attachment B" of this resolution.
2. That the **City of Pacifica** has committed adequate staffing resources to complete the project(s) described in Attachment B.
3. A review of the project(s) described in Attachment B has resulted in the consideration of all pertinent matters, including those related to environmental and right-of-way permits and clearances, attendant to the successful completion of the project(s).
4. Issues attendant to securing environmental and right-of-way permits and clearances for the projects described in Attachment B have been reviewed and will be concluded in a manner and on a schedule that will not jeopardize the deadline for the use of the TDA funds being requested.
5. That the project(s) described in Attachment B comply with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA, Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.).
6. That as portrayed in the budgetary description(s) of the project(s) in Attachment B, the sources of funding other than TDA are assured and adequate for completion of the project(s).
7. That the project(s) described in Attachment B are for capital construction and/or design engineering; and/or for the maintenance of a Class I bikeway which is closed to motorized traffic; and/or for the purposes of restriping Class II bicycle lanes; and/or for the development or support of a bicycle safety education program; and/or for the development of a comprehensive bicycle and/or pedestrian facilities plan, and an allocation of TDA Article 3 funding for such a plan has not been received by the **City of Pacifica** within the prior five fiscal years.
8. That the project(s) described in Attachment B is included in a locally approved bicycle, pedestrian, transit, multimodal, complete streets, or other relevant plan.
9. That any project described in Attachment B that is a bikeway meets the mandatory minimum safety design criteria published in Chapter 1000 of the California Highway Design Manual.
10. That the project(s) described in Attachment B will be completed before the funds expire.
11. That the **City of Pacifica** agrees to maintain, or provide for the maintenance of, the project(s) and facilities described in Attachment B, for the benefit of and use by the public.

Resolution No. \_\_\_\_\_

Attachment B

page 1 of 2

**TDA Article 3 Project Application Form**

Fiscal Year of this Claim: 17-18      Applicant: City of Pacifica

Contact person: Van Ocampo

Mailing Address: 170 Santa Maria Avenue, Pacifica, CA 94044

E-Mail Address: ocampov@ci.pacifica.ca.us      Telephone: (650) 738-3767

Secondary Contact (in event primary not available): Raymund Donguines

E-Mail Address: donguinesr@ci.pacifica.ca.us      Telephone: (650) 738-3768

**Short Title Description of Project: City of Pacifica Comprehensive Pedestrian/Bicycle Plan**

Amount of claim: \$55,000.00

**Functional Description of Project:**

**The City proposes to update its Bicycle Plan from 2000 to a Comprehensive Pedestrian/Bicycle plan to better address its Bicycle and Pedestrian needs.**

**Financial Plan:**

List the project elements for which TDA funding is being requested (e.g., planning, engineering, construction, contingency). Use the table below to show the project budget for the phase being funded or total project. Include prior and proposed future funding of the project. Planning funds may only be used for comprehensive bicycle and pedestrian plans. Project level planning is not an eligible use of TDA Article 3.

**Project Elements: Planning**

<b>Funding Source</b>	<b>All Prior FYs</b>	<b>Application FY</b>	<b>Next FY</b>	<b>Following FYs</b>	<b>Totals</b>
TDA Article 3		\$55,000			\$55,000
list all other sources:					
1. City of Pacifica		\$55,000			\$55,000
2.					
3.					
4.					
<b>Totals</b>		\$110,000			\$110,000

<b>Project Eligibility:</b>	<b>YES?/NO?</b>
<b>A.</b> Has the project been approved by the claimant's governing body? (If "NO," provide the approximate date approval is anticipated).	Yes
<b>B.</b> Has this project previously received TDA Article 3 funding? If "YES," provide an explanation on a separate page.	No
<b>C.</b> For "bikeways," does the project meet Caltrans minimum safety design criteria pursuant to Chapter 1000 of the California Highway Design Manual? (Available on the internet via: <a href="http://www.dot.ca.gov">http://www.dot.ca.gov</a> ).	N/A
<b>D.</b> Has the project been reviewed by a Bicycle Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC)? (If "NO," provide an explanation). Enter date the project was reviewed by the BAC: <u>June 28, 2017</u>	YES
<b>E.</b> Has the public availability of the environmental compliance documentation for the project (pursuant to CEQA) been evidenced by the dated stamping of the document by the county clerk or county recorder? (required only for projects that include construction).	N/A
<b>F.</b> Will the project be completed before the allocation expires? Enter the anticipated completion date of project (month and year) <u>June 30, 2020</u>	YES
<b>G.</b> Have provisions been made by the claimant to maintain the project or facility, or has the claimant arranged for such maintenance by another agency? (If an agency other than the Claimant is to maintain the facility provide its name: <u>  </u> )	N/A