

# **Public Comments Oral Communications**



*April 12, 2021*  
*City Council Meeting*

## Oral Communication: Pacifica Mural Proposal

Lauren Wu [REDACTED]

Mon 4/12/2021 3:08 PM

To: Public Comment <publiccomment@ci.pacifica.ca.us>

Cc: Anneliese Phillips [REDACTED]

**[CAUTION: External Email]**

My name is Lauren Wu. My project partner, Anneliese Phillips, and I are both juniors at Design Tech High School. We are interested in painting a mural in Pacifica, as part of the 'Keep Pacifica Beautiful Through Public Art Program'. The mural would highlight the importance of cleaning up your trash at the beaches in Pacifica and the importance of protecting the beach habitats. We would also create an interactive website for people who are interested in learning more about how to help (linked to the mural through QR codes).

We applied for and have been awarded a grant through the [Dragon Kim Foundation](#), which is a social entrepreneurship program for high school students, to cover the costs of the mural project. This program is also providing us with leadership, project planning and budgeting training, and we have a well thought out project plan.

We also have an experienced professional mural artist and teacher, Mr. Mike Bam Tyau (<https://miketyau.com/>) who has agreed to work with us and be our advisor on the project.

Our timeline through the Dragon Kim Fellowship program is that we would need to do the planning work for the mural this spring, and paint the mural this summer.

We are very interested in meeting with the Beautification Advisory Committee to pursue our project.

Thank you,  
Lauren Wu & Anneliese Phillips

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the City of Pacifica. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

# **Public Comments**

## **Agenda Item #9**



*April 12, 2021*  
*City Council Meeting*

## FW: RV illegal parking resolution

Coffey, Sarah <coffeys@ci.pacifica.ca.us>

Mon 4/12/2021 3:58 PM

To: Public Comment <publiccomment@ci.pacifica.ca.us>

---

**From:** shimmylyn [REDACTED]

**Sent:** Monday, April 12, 2021 3:56 PM

**To:** Coffey, Sarah <coffeys@ci.pacifica.ca.us>

**Subject:** RV illegal parking resolution

**[CAUTION: External Email]**

Greetings City Council,

I am again writing to express my serious concerns about yet another attempt at providing illegally parked RV dwellers with legal parking. This idea has failed already due to lack of community support. What are the costs of establishing a "feasibility commission"? The City of Pacifica is ill equipped to deal with the increasing number of illegally parked RV's. We are overwhelmed with RV dwellers since a third city, Burlingame has joined Half Moon Bay and South San Francisco in banning RV dwellers because of the trash and waste water they dump. Enough already, please focus on the truly homeless shivering in tents in our city!

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the City of Pacifica. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

## FW: Illegal Rv parking on Pacifica streets

Coffey, Sarah <coffeys@ci.pacifica.ca.us>

Mon 4/12/2021 4:31 PM

To: Public Comment <publiccomment@ci.pacifica.ca.us>

---

**From:** Georgia Berry [REDACTED]

**Sent:** Monday, April 12, 2021 4:33 PM

**To:** Coffey, Sarah <coffeys@ci.pacifica.ca.us>

**Subject:** Illegal Rv parking on Pacifica streets

**[CAUTION: External Email]**

Dear City Council,

Why on earth are we **AGAIN** having to address the illegal RV parking in Pacifica? The people of Pacifica have expressed our views over and over again and yet you will not listen to us. You know we have no land to create a parking lot nor, do we have the money to sustain them. They dump their trash and empty their waste water into our city streets. Why do you think we now have ecoli in our beach water where our children like to surf. This is outrages that tax paying Pacifican's have to put up with this kind of behavior. **PLEASE**, do the job you were elected to do and protect the citizens of Pacifica.

Georgia Berry  
[REDACTED]

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the City of Pacifica. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

# **Public Comments**

## **Agenda Item #10**



*April 12, 2021*  
*City Council Meeting*

## Re: Public Records Request - Boles re: TA-119-21 and public comment for tonight's item 10

Christine Boles [REDACTED]

Mon 4/12/2021 10:35 AM

To: Brooks, Elizabeth <brookse@ci.pacifica.ca.us>

Cc: Coffey, Sarah <coFFEys@ci.pacifica.ca.us>; Woodhouse, Kevin <woodhousek@ci.pacifica.ca.us>; Wehrmeister, Tina <twehrmeister@pacifica.gov>; Murdock, Christian <murdockc@ci.pacifica.ca.us>; Bier, Mary <bierm@ci.pacifica.ca.us>; Bigstyck, Tygarjas <bigstyckt@ci.pacifica.ca.us>; Public Comment <publiccomment@ci.pacifica.ca.us>

### [CAUTION: External Email]

Thank you for these documents regarding the proposed planning code amendment TA-119-21 Elizabeth, but I am surprised that there is not a single email to or from planning staff in these records. Is all of the city's internal communication legally sensitive and protected? Again this goes to the issues of transparency and public trust, part of Council Goal #2 of an engaged community.

Is there no documentation about actual analysis done to see where existing churches and non-profits are currently located in regards to zoning and if/how they currently contribute to the larger Pacifica community? I don't understand how these blanket statements can be made by staff without data to back them up. I would hope that we will get a better explanation at tonight's council meeting for item 10. I urge you to have a full discussion on the topic including a full reading of the text.

Has the City Attorney reviewed the language that excludes churches from commercial zones, but that makes no mention of other religious institutions? This seems legally questionable as discriminatory.

I would also like to draw your attention to my previous communication on the subject on March 17th, which was not included in the public comments about this amendment. I will forward that again to the public comment email.

I urge the Council to vote no on this amendment as currently written.

Thank you,

Christine Boles, Architect

**Beausoleil Architects**

[REDACTED]

Pacifica, CA 94044

[REDACTED]

[www.beausoleil-architects.com](http://www.beausoleil-architects.com)

*"Do your little bit of good where you are; it's those little bits of good put together that overwhelm the world." - Desmond Tutu*

On Fri, Apr 9, 2021 at 7:03 PM Brooks, Elizabeth <[brookse@ci.pacifica.ca.us](mailto:brookse@ci.pacifica.ca.us)> wrote:

Dear Ms. Boles,

Please see the City's attached response to your public records request received on March 17, 2021, along with the portion of responsive records that are available electronically.

Due to the volume and size of the electronic file(s), the responsive records that are available electronically are accessible via Google Drive link below:

<https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1KRejssmncFotkhUDMDooTu0-ZEFO5FcO?usp=sharing>

Please note that these files will be deleted in 30 days, so you may want to download the files to your records.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Brooks | Executive Assistant/Deputy City Clerk  
City of Pacifica | City Manager/City Attorney Office  
170 Santa Maria Avenue, Pacifica, CA 94044  
Phone: (650) 738-7409 | [brookse@ci.pacifica.ca.us](mailto:brookse@ci.pacifica.ca.us)

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the City of Pacifica. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.



## Re: Proposed planning code amendment TA-119-21

Christine Boles [REDACTED]

Mon 4/12/2021 10:38 AM

To: Coffey, Sarah <coffeysc@ci.pacifica.ca.us>; Public Comment <publiccomment@ci.pacifica.ca.us>

Cc: Murdock, Christian <murdockc@ci.pacifica.ca.us>; Woodhouse, Kevin <woodhousek@ci.pacifica.ca.us>; Bier, Mary <bierm@ci.pacifica.ca.us>; Wehrmeister, Tina <twehrmeister@pacifica.gov>; Bigstyk, Tygarjas <bigstyckt@ci.pacifica.ca.us>; Beckmeyer, Sue <beckmeyers@ci.pacifica.ca.us>

**[CAUTION: External Email]**

This comment about TA-119-21 seems to be missing from tonight's agenda packet.

Christine Boles, Architect

**Beausoleil Architects**

[REDACTED]

Pacifica, CA 94044

[REDACTED]

[www.beausoleil-architects.com](http://www.beausoleil-architects.com)

*"Do your little bit of good where you are; it's those little bits of good put together that overwhelm the world." - Desmond Tutu*

On Wed, Mar 17, 2021 at 10:44 AM Christine Boles [REDACTED] wrote:

Good morning Staff and Council Members,

I am troubled by the zoning amendment that was presented by Christian Murdock at the Planning Commission Meeting on Monday. Peter Loeb and I asked for more explanation of the intent of the zoning change, but our questions remain unanswered.

While I have no problem with limiting the locations of heliports and off road vehicle parks, the added restrictions on churches, nonprofits and clubs seems misguided and I would like to request a better explanation of the council's intentions and reasoning behind these proposed changes.

I currently have two major issues. First of all, as noted in my attached comment from Monday, this code would force churches into only residential zones, where the road width, traffic and parking conditions are less than ideal. Many existing churches are in C1, closer to public transit, larger traffic corridors and on larger sites that allow for on site parking. C1 is a better location and for these uses and, contrary to the arguments made in the staff report, churches (and other religious institutions) often have community benefits, such as hosting AA meetings and low cost childcare.

Secondly, per my reading of this amendment, nonprofits like the Pacifica Resource Center could no longer be located in C1 zones where they are now, which is right where they should be in public areas adjacent to public transportation with adequate street parking. The staff report says that these uses could be considered for C zones under the business and administrative provision of section 9-4.1001, but this section says specifically that they are only allowed "above the ground floor of any commercial structure". As these types of non-profits require disabled access, that restriction is putting an undue burden on them to find or build suitable commercial buildings with elevators. Again, I would argue that nonprofits are often community serving uses, contrary to the arguments in the staff report.

I respectfully ask you to reconsider this zoning change as currently written. There may be unintended legal ramifications that should be carefully reviewed by the city attorney as well. The existing zoning code requires discretionary review of these types of projects as part of the Use Permit process already, and it seems more flexible to allow a review and determination on a case by case basis.

Because our questions were not answered Monday night, I am also asking for all public records and communications in regards to this amendment. Please see attached PRF form.

Can I also ask when my public document requests made on 2.10.21 might be addressed? The time critical document is the Incomplete Letter from Planning regarding the project on Higgins Road, now known as Linda Mar Woods, APN 023-292-040. Drawings and other documents for this project are posted on the planning department website, but not this letter.

Sarah, the public comments attachment contains all three of my comments from Monday, to help you with the minutes.

Thank you,

Christine Boles, Architect

**Beausoleil Architects**

[REDACTED]

Pacifica, CA 94044

[REDACTED]

[www.beausoleil-architects.com](http://www.beausoleil-architects.com)

*"Do your little bit of good where you are; it's those little bits of good put together that overwhelm the world." - Desmond Tutu*

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the City of Pacifica. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.