Public Comments Agenda Item # 1



July 15, 2020 City Council Special Meeting

From:

Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 10:21 PM

To: Public Comment **Subject:** comments

[CAUTION: External Email]

Raised hand belatedly. Only 3 things, if Gail Benjamin was correct that a person can become a resident having stayed 28 days, and can then fight eviction, the program should be stopped.

Linda Peebles is a member of the parish, not the neighborhood. It's very Peeble-ish of her to volunteer her parish.

Mobile dump service--I've traveled in RVs can tell you the mobile dump smells super bad for a long time after it's used, it's all in the air.

Linda

From: Tony Marotto

Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 11:36 PM

To: _City Council Group; Public Comment; Coffey, Sarah; Martin, Deirdre; Beckmeyer, Sue;

Vaterlaus, Sue; Bier, Mary; O'Neill, Mike; Wehrmeister, Tina; Murdock, Christian;

Woodhouse, Kevin; O'Connor, Bonny

Cc:

Subject: Pacifica City Council Special Meeting Jy.15,2020 / Agenda Item #1 / San Francisco

Public Golf Alliance Objects to Unhoused in Pacifica Motorhome Permit Parking Pilot

Project

[CAUTION: External Email]

Dear City Council members:

I am a Pacifica resident for 54 years, and for reasons stated in the letter from San Francisco Public Golf Alliance, below, I ask you not to adopt the Unhoused in Pacifica Motorhome Permit Parking Pilot Project.

Sincerely Anthony J Marotto 5 Elm Ct Pacifica,Ca

From:

Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 9:47 AM

To: _City Council Group; Public Comment; Coffey, Sarah; Martin, Deirdre; Beckmeyer, Sue;

Vaterlaus, Sue; Bier, Mary; O'Neill, Mike; Wehrmeister, Tina; Murdock, Christian;

Woodhouse, Kevin; O'Connor, Bonny

Cc:

Subject: Pacifica City Council Special Meeting Jy.15,2020 / Agenda Item #1 / San Francisco

Public Golf Alliance Objects to Unhoused in Pacifica Motorhome Permit Parking Pilot

Project

[CAUTION: External Email]

Objection to Unhoused in Pacifica Motorhome Permit Parking Pilot Project

The On-Street Parking Pilot Project described in the City Manager's July 15 Report (the "Pilot Project") should not be adopted in its current condition. Our note focuses on the potential adverse impacts of the proposed Pilot Project on the San Francisco-owned Sharp Park Golf Course – one of Pacifica's historic landmark properties and a public coastal recreational resource. We submit this note on behalf of the 6,500-plus members of the non-profit, pro-bono San Francisco Public Golf Alliance, a very significant number of which members are residents of the City of Pacifica.

The Pilot Project would place an undue and unhealthy burden on the Golf Course property by proposing four of the 11 permitted motorhome street parking spots for Unhoused adjacent to the two entrances to the golf course, and a fifth parking a short walk from the course's northeast corner.

The Pilot Project's permitted street parking spots are identified in the Chart at page 4 of the City Manager's Report and the map at Attachment E (found in the Council's Agenda Packet at pages 7/78 and 78/78, respectively:

https://pacificacityca.iqm2.com/Citizens/FileOpen.aspx?Type=14&ID=1306&Inline=True. Four of the Pilot Project's proposed 11 street parking spots are located near two entrances to the Sharp Park Golf Course – 2 on the west curb of Francisco Blvd near the main entrance to the Golf Course parking lot, and 2 on Lundy Drive near the east portal of the pedestrian/golfers walking tunnel under Highway One that leads to the 4th tee of the golf course. A fifth designated parking spot is at 2400 Francisco Blvd, a short walk from the northeast corner of the golf course and near the 7/11 store at the intersection of Clarendon and Lakeshore.

Such a concentration of motorhome parking for unhoused persons adjacent to entrances to the golf course is problematic on several counts: (1) under Covid-19 health protocols, the golf clubhouse is closed and no indoor toilets are open; the two golf course portapotties (located near the 4th and 15th greens, respectively east and west of the freeway) are already overstressed by golfer use as a result of the absence of indoor plumbing at the clubhouse; (2) most of the golf course lies within the Coastal Zone and is home not only to golfers, but to endangered species in the property's wetlands; (3) no trash deposit or pick-up facilities are near the four spots on Lundy and Francisco, which would effectively attract trash overflow from the motorhome-dwellers; (5) these parking spots would effectively invite the motorhome-dwellers with dogs onto the golf course property – endangering the protected species; (6) the City of San Francisco and its Recreation and Park Department was not afforded the courtesy of notification or consultation. (This final point is symptomatic of a more general problem of Pacifica's failure to cooperatively engage with San Francisco in dealing with various

intergovernmental issues at the Sharp Park Golf Course property, including issues at the Coastal Trail on San Francisco property between Clarendon and Mori Point.)

All of this points to a larger problem with the Pilot Program in its current condition. It is simply not ready for adoption because original key advocates of the effort -- including Pacifica churches and the City of Pacifica itself – have not come forward with their own support for the parking program by way of offering parking spots on their own properties, which have better access to trash, sewage, and sanitation facilities than the street parking spots adjacent to the golf course – or for that matter most if not all of the Pilot Program's proposed street parking spots. Until such time as the larger Pacifica community – including its churches and the City Government itself – have more fully bought into contributing to solving the problem, Pacifica should not adopt the Pilot Project in its current half-baked condition.

Respectfully submitted, San Francisco Public Golf Alliance Richard Harris, President



Virus-free. www.avast.com

From: Andrew T. Fiore

Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2020 11:37 AM

To: Public Comment

Subject: Opposition to RV parking plan

[CAUTION: External Email]

Hello,

I am a homeowner in Fairway Park West and I am strongly opposed to the plan to authorize RV parking in my neighborhood. Street parking is not equipped for sanitation or water and is not a long-term solution. People in our neighborhood get ticketed for parking their own cars on the street in front of their houses for more than 72 hours. I can't imagine why the city would want to allow people to live semi-permanently in RVs along neighborhood streets.

I moved from San Francisco and still work there. While I have the greatest sympathy for people facing homelessness, the solutions need to be systemic, not piecemeal and not without consideration of local impacts. SFPD moved RVs and tents from neighborhood to neighborhood with occasional enforcement campaigns. I witnessed trash and waste being dumped in my old neighborhood and routinely outside my office from the RVs. I do not want to see the same happening in Pacifica.

Thank you,
Andrew Fiore
Pacifica

From: Edward Lim

Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2020 12:07 PM

To: Public Comment

Subject: I oppose permanent RV parking near Fairway Park

[CAUTION: External Email]

Dear Members of the Pacifica City Council: Will a summary of last night's meeting and the city council's ruling's regarding RV parking near Fairway Park be made public? The Public Inputs into the meeting demonstrated the complexity of the subject. I appreciate that I was able speak to my objections as well as being able to appreciate the opposing positions. The open public forum format of the meeting provided a balanced overview. I would urge the city council to refrain from any future secret task force actions on this subject - this is a public issue that affects all of Pacific and deserves full transparency. Thank you

Ed Lim Fairway Park Resident

From: James Kimball

Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2020 12:19 PM

To: Public Comment Subject: RV Parking

[CAUTION: External Email]

I am writing to oppose the parking of any RV dwellers in the Fairway Park area of Pacifica. As the owner of a travel trailer we have made sure our trailer is parked off the street and in our backyard.

We do not use the trailer unless camping. But with that I know that the grey (household) and black (sewer) water

tanks fill up very quickly and need to be dumped every couple of days of more. We can not have the RV dwellers dumping sewage in our neighborhoods and oceans. In addition to all the trash they have. Where does the sewage and trash go? As homeowners we pay our property taxes and do not want to have the homeless/RV dwellers living in our neighborhood. We have so many cars now, we sometimes can not park in front of our own house.

Our neighborhood has many children who like to play outside in the neighborhood and with if the RV parking is allowed this will have to stop. As homeowners we did not buy our homes to have RV's parked on our streets.

NO parking of RV's on our streets! Debbie Kimball

From: Lily Lum

Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2020 1:39 PM

To: Public Comment

Subject: RVs Permanent Parking

[CAUTION: External Email]

Since the pandemic, the Fairway Park neighborhood has experienced heavy traffic. We are seeing an increase of visitors to Mori Point and beaches. On a nice day, parking is horrendous. Worst are the garbages and pooping bags littered on the ground.

Given the current situation, we do not want any more vehicles (especially RVs) in our neighborhood. Please give us back the peace and quiet that we love about this neighborhood.

Lily Lum

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

From: DENNIS MIRALDA

Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2020 4:57 PM

To:Public CommentSubject:RV parking Fairway

[CAUTION: External Email]

Permanent REALLY will they be requiered to contribute to the City of Pacific and the County of San Mateo. Or do they just get a free ride? Will the City of Pacifica be providing services Police Fire Park and Rec. This sounds like another money sponge that the citizen will absorb. I'm against it

Dennis & Marie Miralda Fairway West residents

Sent from Samsung tablet Get Outlook for Android

From: Muna Azzghayer

Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2020 3:18 PM

To: Public Comment

Subject: Homeless Shelter in Park Pacifica

[CAUTION: External Email]

Dear Mayor and City Council Members

I am writing you today to protest the proposed homeless Shelter RV parking on the vacant lot on Terra Nova BLVD adjacent to Park Mall Center. The lack of disregard to the safety and health to our community is mind blowing. Many of our children walk, ride bikes and go to school in this area, to jeopardize their safety is horrifying! You cant just dump a homeless RV park right in the middle of our neighborhood, you can not on jeopardize our safety. You devalue our life savings as our home prices will plummet due to the proximity of a homeless Shelter right down smack in the middle of our community. For many people they count on selling when they retire to play with someone's investment is reckless. You can't devalue our investment in our homes. Pacifica has not taken this matter seriously we are seeing our streets turn into run down Trailer Parks people don't pay over million dollars to live in a trailer park, many of us have sacrificed to live here, we have mortgages and property taxes you can't just park where ever you want and start living in front of people homes. If continue to allow this then what stops other people coming and just parking and living, what about the fecal clean out where are they doing that? Our streets will become over crowded with waste and rodents. These are the homeowners street, we pay for these streets with our tax dollars and we expect the city to respect our property. Many of the people in Park Pacifica are hardworking and have made sacrifices to become homeowners, you can't tax us and just give housing to people who will affect our area negatively. How do we know these people are not sex offenders, our children deserve to be safe?

I know many SF residents and small small business owners in San Francisco I have seen the devastation created by the homeless they are mentally ill and drug abusers and very violent. They have made it impossible to run a business as they create havoc to law abiding citizens. They continually have to clean up needles and feces from in front of their business and homes, and many have been attacked by them. Last year the city of San Francisco was rated one of the most dirtiest cities in the US, it was so bad that National Association of Medical doctors canceled their convention at Moscone Center costing the city and businesses of San Francisco over 88 Million dollars. The doctors did not want go to the city because many of their attendees did not feel safe walking in the streets the previous year and the feces all over the streets was just plain unsanitary. Why would someone want to pay money to go to a hell hole and why would anyone want to live in a hell hole? One business owner told me he can not put outside seating because people are scared of being attacked by the homeless. I can tell you just the other day a person came into my friends business without a mask, they offered him a mask and he refused to put one on and started screaming and throwing things. I most definitely do not want to deal with this in my neighborhood many of us pay the price to live here and want to be free of all the San Francisco nonsense! I can tell you people are not coming into city to dine anymore because they do not want to be around the homeless who pose a risk to health and safety. Many San Francisco residents have fled so they will not be exposed to Covid 19. SF homeowners and businesses sued the city of San Francisco in the Tenderloin district because the situation got so bad people were stepping over the feces and needles Right now many of us walk safely in our streets by allowing that dirt lot to be used for a shelter you put us and our children at risk.

It is sad that many people can't afford to live here but that is because you and past city council members and the Coastal Commission all over California will not allow new residential development and California is now paying the price with homelessness! You have failed to address housing and mental illness. And you have failed building mental institutions where people need to be placed to get help! Many of us have seen our children move away to Texas, Colorado, Utah, Nevada, Arizona because they can not afford to live here. This is just a fact of life now! Memorial Park has RV parking the county should be using places like that to accommodate RV homeless housing and fecal clean out! California and the City of Pacifica have failed to keep up with housing, But that doesn't mean you can use our neighborhood for a make shift homeless shelter and affect the Tax payers life! Without our tax dollars you have no City Budget!!

Concerned

Park Pacifica Home Owner

From:

Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 2:32 PM

To: Public Comment

Subject: Long term RV parking in Fairway Park

[CAUTION: External Email]

Public roads are for transportation. They were not designed for places of lodging. Allowing vehicles on public roadways to be used for lodging is an affront to public safety.

RVs belong in RV parks with hook ups, oversight and proper insurance. There are many legal RV friendly communities in the United States that welcome RVs.

The people who chose that lifestyle have many options but a few have chosen to become squatters on public roadways in clear violation of the law.

Those who think that the current RV park in Pacifica is not sufficient for local market demand can purchase land and create competing RV parks.

The United States is a massive nation with plenty of cheap land. Of course some people will want to live cheaply in the most expensive parts of it but it's the job of local governments to prevent people from cheating the system and living for free in high housing cost areas.

When a homeowner adds a permitted bedroom to their home, a multi thousand dollar assessment is charged for "fee in lieu" for the parks. What fees will the RVs be charged for the same potential use of parks?

Who will be responsible for the disposal fee of an oversized vehicle that is abandoned because the owner cannot afford to make it operable again?

Must other coastal cities endure giving up public roadways for RV living? Monterey? Carmel? Malibu? La Jolla? How do they do manage to protect their property tax paying citizens?

From: att.net Mail

Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 2:35 PM

To: Public Comment

Subject: RV and Large Vehicle Parking in Pacifica, Ca.

[CAUTION: External Email]

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this "Large Vehicle and RV parking issue". I live on Terra Nova Blvd in Pacifica. We (My Wife and I) have been in our home for more than twenty five years. We can tell you for a fact that there are no sewer depositories or water opportunities on Terra Nova Blvd for RV's, Buses, or any other vehicles one would chose to live in. Anyone with a little life experience knows what sanitary problems this will cause. And how about the stench, unsanitary dumping, and other issues that home owners and renters would have to deal with.

How about the loss of property value. How about the fear of and reality of child endangerment. Are you aware of the difficulty in seeing a vehicle coming or pedestrian when they run out from between parked cares? It is dangerous now. Think about if the vehicles parked up and down the street that are ten feet high.

Now, if you have parents (elderly or physically disabled) there will be a time when they are trying to do the right thing and make a mistake. It will be OK if they are hurt or killed because it was a accident.

Open your eyes and your hearts to a better reality where the rights and opportunities of tax paying residents aren't ignored to put a few dollars in Pacifica Coffers.

Charles Hawkins

Pacifica, Ca. 94044

From: Julie Zoph

Sent: Friday, July 17, 2020 6:43 AM

To: Public Comment

Subject: RV parking in fairway area

[CAUTION: External Email]

I'm writing this letter I live in the fairway area we the tax payer don't won't this in our neighborhood bad enough we have to deal with everyone parking in this area to hike up on the hill beach access especially the weekends what about parking there RV in the back part of Linda mar parking lot the side part of where Bank of America is at that whole area is empty please don't bring the homeless to our area thank you Julia Zoph

Sent from my iPhone

From: Jason Mcarthur

Sent: Friday, July 17, 2020 8:44 AM

To: Public Comment

Subject: No permanent RV parking

[CAUTION: External Email]

I do not want permanent RV parking in fairway.

Thank you,

Jason

Arleen way

From: Eric Jones

Sent: Saturday, July 18, 2020 5:46 PM

To: Public Comment

Subject: No RV

[CAUTION: External Email]

My wife and I have lived on Lundy Way in Fairway Park since 2003. We are strongly against any RV parking in our lovely neighborhood. We already have congestion right outside our front window and now potential RV parking? Where does their waste go?

How about all the council members tell us all where they live and we can offer up spaces near their homes. I doubt they live in any of the potential spots for the RV's.

This is an outrage.

Respectfully

Eric and Jennifer Jones.

--

Eric Jones
Electric Sales Unlimited
Northern California Manager

From: Clifford Wilkerson

Sent: Sunday, July 19, 2020 11:43 AM

To: Public Comment; dhorsley@smcgov.org; shermfrederick@gmail.com; Woodhouse,

Kevin

Subject: Recreational Vehicles (Oversize vehicles)

[CAUTION: External Email]

Cliff and Eileen Wilkerson

Pacifica, CA 94044

July 19, 2020

City of Pacifica Council Members

@ci.pacifica.ca.us

RE: Recreation Vehicles (Oversized Vehicles) on City Streets

- We do not support recreational vehicles parking on ANY City streets.
- We do not support using City finances to provide services for these vehicles, i.e. porta potties, sewage dumping, etc.
- We do not support a permit process to park on City streets.
- At a minimum, we DO SUPPORT enforcing parking enforcement per Ordinance 855-c.s., Title 4, Chapter 7, Articles 11 and 12, adopted January 27, 2020.
- We DO SUPPORT expanding Title 4, Chapter 7, Articles 11 and 12, adopted January 27, 2020 to include ALL City streets.

Respectfully,

Cliff and Eileen Wilkerson

| From: Sent: To: Subject: | Stan Jensen Monday, July 13, 2020 10:36 AM Public Comment Opposed to RV parking |
|--|--|
| [CAUTION: External Email] | |
| I'm writing to voice my opposition | to allowing RV dwellers to park and live around West and East Fairway Park. |
| Stan Jensen | |
| • • • | Pacifica, CA 94044-2920 Irl?a=http%3a%2f%2fwww.Run100s.com%2f&c=E,1,IVclAZIGcUUzJovnhY5ktTM7DuchR5 tc7_WndzIp5rWKq1OusrzBhm4hVNEaHc38E35h8leKC7DRD7jIaFNvFFJdPkBM&typo=1 |
| This email has been checked for vi https://www.avg.com | ruses by AVG. |
| CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of Pacifica. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and | |

From:

Sent: Tuesday, July 21, 2020 11:34 AM

To: Public Comment

Subject: RV parking on the street

[CAUTION: External Email]

Not a fan of this. We shouldn't let RVers on our streets for 29 days. It's not safe nor hygienic. Parking in parking lots at churches and other places is fine. But not on our streets.

Thanks, I vote.

jim