Public Comments Agenda Item # 1



November 9, 2020 Special Meeting for a Joint Study Session of City Council and Parks, Beaches, and Recreation Commission From: Coffey, Sarah
To: Public Comment

Subject: FW: Bike Plan Study Group

Date: Monday, November 9, 2020 4:47:31 PM

From: shimmylyn

Sent: Monday, November 9, 2020 4:47 PM **To:** Coffey, Sarah <coffeys@ci.pacifica.ca.us>

Subject: Bike Plan Study Group

[CAUTION: External Email]

I live on Yosemite Drive opposite Lower Frontierland and am opposed to adding a bike park because it will add even more problems with park security after dark. We have had to call police many times in past 24 years due to young people gathering in park after dark and engaging in fights and doing drugs. It will increase the illegal activity because young people can't be seen from street which will quickly get out of control.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of Pacifica. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Public Comments Agenda Item # 12



November 9, 2020 Regular City Council Meeting From: Coffey, Sarah

Cc: <u>Brooks, Elizabeth</u>; <u>Woodhouse, Kevin</u>; <u>Public Comment</u>

Subject: FW: I tried so hard to comment - Public Comment - Agenda Item #12

Date: Tuesday, November 10, 2020 8:37:11 AM

Dear Councilmembers,

Please see the public comments below related to the 11/9/2020 City Council Meeting Agenda Item #12.

Best Regards, Sarah

From: Sue Digre

Sent: Monday, November 9, 2020 10:25 PM

To: Woodhouse, Kevin <woodhousek@ci.pacifica.ca.us>; Martin, Deirdre

<martind@ci.pacifica.ca.us>

Cc: Coffey, Sarah <coffeys@ci.pacifica.ca.us>

Subject: I tried so hard to comment

[CAUTION: External Email]

Regarding the last item on agenda in 11 09 2020.

It is sooo frustrating.

I had to work this evening but tried to use the phone to comment. Didn't work!

Suzanne Moore expressed it well. Majority of Council comments were right on

Except for boldness.

Where is the League of Cities and boldness of Council?

This is a take over of the democracy of the Public.

Threatening the Public is frightening.

Who are the members of ABAG!

Cities why allow the civil rights of US Citizens to be subjugated to threats of a take over?

This is frightening. These are not policies. These are dictatorial threats.

Pacifica spoke boldly against a Governor's attempt a few years ago as did the League of Cities.

And his demand was denied. Why be wimps now?

The City and the League should be standing up for local control by citizens and refuse to enable any element of dictatorial ,one size fits all demands from "higher authority "!!

Truly affordable housing, truly providing for "below high end market rate housing" is not assured in this dictatorial demand.

This is absurd. Aligning Pacifica with Hillsborough rather than HMB is another sign of lack of common sense.

Stand up against such usurpation of the rights of citizens to vote. Local control is a valuable right.

The highest authority is the majority vote of the citizens.

Only two members of the Public spoke.

Doesn't that tell you something? Does anyone really think the Public does not care?

This is one more evidence that the City MUST enable the Public.

You have to pay to get fliers to people in their homes. Post alerts in public places And then enable non-techy people to actually engage!

We, the people are being denied the opportunity to be a Government of ,by, for the people.

Make that letter strong on our behalf.

Agendize how to pay to reach out to the Public to enable participation in local government.

Thank you. Sue Digre

Sarah please provide to all Councilmembers.

Thanks

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of Pacifica. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.